



ASIAN JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT RESEARCH
Online Open Access publishing platform for Management Research

© Copyright 2010 All rights reserved with Integrated Publishing Association

ISSN 2229 3795

Title of the Research Work Should be written in This Style and Font

Author Name¹, Author Name², Author Name³

ABSTRACT

The abstract serves two major purposes: it helps a person decide whether to read the paper, and it provides the reader with a framework for understanding the paper if they decide to read it. Thus, abstract should describe the most important aspects of the study within the word-limit provided by the journal. As appropriate for research, try to include a statement of the problem, the sample you studied, the dependent and independent variables, the instruments, the design, major findings, and conclusions. If pressed for space, concentrate on the problem and, especially, findings. A research paper abstract is very similar to other parts of a research paper, such as an introduction. An introduction also tells readers more about what they are going to learn from the research paper. However, the difference between introductions and research paper abstracts is that introductions provide background information and introduce the topic of the research paper. Abstracts give a general overview of the paper, but may not include any background information.

Keywords: Author Guidelines, Research Paper Template, AJMR, IPA, Template

1. Introduction

Start your report with a paragraph or two presenting the investigated problem, the importance of the study, and an overview of research strategy. The introductory paragraphs are usually followed by a review of the literature. Show how research builds on prior knowledge by presenting and evaluating what is already known about research problem. Assume that the readers possess a broad knowledge of the field, but not the cited articles, books and papers. Discuss the findings of works that are pertinent to specific issue. Usually will not need to elaborate on methods. The goal of the introduction and literature review is to demonstrate "the logical continuity between previous and present works" (APA, 1994). This does not mean need to provide an exhaustive historical review. Analyze the relationships among the related studies instead of presenting a series of seemingly unrelated abstracts or annotations. The introduction should motivate the study. The reader should understand why the problem was researched and why the study represents a contribution to existing knowledge. Use font style Times Ne Roman, font size 12 with line spacing 1.0 only.

2. Review of Literature/Theatrical Background of the Study

A literature review is a body of text that aims to review the critical points of current knowledge including substantive findings as well as theoretical and methodological contributions to a particular topic. Literature reviews are secondary sources, and as such, do not report any new or original experimental work. Most often associated with academic-oriented literature, such as theses, a literature review usually precedes a research proposal and results section. Its ultimate goal is to bring the reader up to date with current literature on a topic and forms the basis for another goal, such as future research that may be needed in the area. A well-structured literature review is characterized by a logical flow of ideas; current and relevant references with consistent, appropriate referencing style; proper use of terminology; and an unbiased and comprehensive view of the previous research on the topic.

3. Research Objectives/Research Questions/Hypotheses

- To Develop a template for publishing work in AJMR
- To find out the font size, font style and line spacing in order to write article/case study/research paper according to AJMR format.
- To formulate the guidelines for AJMR authors

4. Research Methodology

The method section includes separate descriptions of the sample, the materials, and the procedures, if needed. Describe sample with sufficient detail so that it is clear what population(s) the sample represents. A discussion of how the sample was formed is needed for reliability and understanding your study. The APA Task Force on Statistical Inference points out "how a population is defined affects almost every conclusion about an article" (Wilkinson, et al., 1999).. A description of instruments, including all surveys, tests, questionnaires, interview forms, and other tools used to provide data, should appear. Evidence of reliability and validity should be presented. Since reliability is a property of scores from a specific use of a specific instrument for a specific population, provide reliability estimates based on data. The design of the study, whether it is a case study, a survey, a controlled experiment, a meta-analysis, or some other type of research, is conveyed through the procedures subsection. It is here that the activities of the researcher are described, such as what was said to the participants, how groups were formed, what control mechanisms were employed, etc. The description is sufficient if enough detail is present for the reader to replicate the essential elements of the study. It is important for the procedures to conform to ethical criteria for researchers (APA, 1992).

5. Analysis and Interpretation

Present a summary of what found in analysis. Describe the techniques that used in each analysis and the results of each analysis. Start with a description of any complications, such as protocol violations and missing data that may have occurred. Examine data for anomalies, such as outliers, points of high influence, miscoded data, and illogical responses.

Use common sense to evaluate the quality of data and make adjustments. Describe the process that used in order to assure readers that editing was appropriate and purified rather than skewed results. With today's availability of statistical packages, it is fairly easy to use very sophisticated techniques to analyze data. Understand the techniques are used and the statistics that are reported. Try to use the simplest, appropriate technique for which can meet the underlying assumptions. If using inferential statistics, determine the power a priori based on anticipated distribution, design, and definition of practical significance. This information must stem from related literature and not the data that collected. If fail to reach statistical significance, then this analysis can be used to show that the finding does not stem from low power. Where appropriate, compute and report effect sizes or, at a minimum, be sure to provide enough information so effect sizes can be computed. Effect sizes provide a common metric for evaluating results across studies and aid in the design of future studies. They will be needed by anyone who attempts a quantitative synthesis of study along with the others in given area of research. For most research reports, the results should provide the summary details about what found rather than an exhaustive listing of every possible analysis and every data point. Use carefully planned tables and graphs. While tables and graphs should be self-explanatory, do not include a table or graph unless it is discussed in the report. Limit them to those that help the reader understand data as they relate to the investigated problem

Table 1: Use Font Size 12, Times New Roman don't Bold the Heading Keep it in Centre

(Source: Specify Source of Table)

Table Heading	Table Font
Font Style	Times New Roman
Font Size	12
Line Spacing	Single and Justify
Top /Bottom	Margin 1
Right/Left	Margin .75



Figure 1: Use Font Size 12, Times New Roman don't Bold the Heading Keep it in Centre

(Source: Specify Source of Figure)

6. Recommendation/Suggestion/Findings

- Font size Should be 12
- Font Style Should be Times New Roman
- Single Line spacing should be used
- Align Justify

7. Limitation of the Study

- Abstract details: The Abstract should be a minimum of 200 and no more than 500 words including keywords. Abstracts have to include the proposed title for the paper, the full names of all the authors.
- Full paper: Should not to be more than 9,000 words including abstract, keywords and references.

8. Conclusions

At this point, the expert data set gives an authority on the problem to address. In this section, discuss and interpret data for the reader,. Do not be afraid to state opinions. Many authors chose to begin the discussion section by highlighting key results. Return to the specific problem you investigated and tell the reader what now you think and why. Relate your findings to those of previous studies, by explaining relationships and supporting or disagreeing with what others have found. Describe your logic and draw your conclusions. Be careful, however, not to over generalize your results. Your conclusions should be warranted by your study and your data.

9. Future Work

It should be described in one paragraph in order to give a clear direction for future research work, to put in more effort and does extensive research work on this work or topic. Try to anticipate the questions a reader will have and suggest what problems should be researched next in order to extend your findings into new areas.

Nomenclature

AJMR Asian Journal of Management Research

APA American Psychological Association

IPA Integrated Publishing Association

Reference

There should be a one-to-one match between the references cited in the report and the references listed in the reference section. Single line spacing, font size 11, font style Times New Roman

American Psychological Association (1992). Ethical principles of psychologists and code of conduct. *American Psychologist*, Vol.47, pp.1597-1611.

American Psychological Association (1994). *Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association* (4th Ed.). Washington, DC

Gay, L.R. & P.W. Airasian (1999). *Educational Research: Competencies for Analysis and Application*. 6th edition. New York: Prentice Hall.

Peters, D.C., & Ceci, S.J. (1982). Peer review practices of psychological journals: The fate of published articles, submitted again. *The Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, Vol .5, pp.187-255.

Syrett, Kristen L. & Rudner, Lawrence M. (1996). Authorship Ethics. *Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation*, Vol.5.No.1.

Thompson, B. (1995). Publishing your research results: Some suggestions and counsel. *Journal of Counseling and Development*, Vol.73, pp.342-345

Wilkinson, L. and Task Force on Statistical Inference (1999). *Statistical Methods in Psychology Journals: Guidelines and Explanations*. *American Psychologist*, Vol.54.No.8

Notes

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Literature_review

www.google.com

Biographical Notes

1. **X** had received name of the degree from university name. Presently he/she is working as designation at present institution name, city, and country. He/she has x years of industrial/academic experience along with the x years of research work (if any). His/her area of interest includes... Email:xx@xx.com (maximum should be in 3 line with single line spacing, font size 9, font style Times New Roman.

2. **Y** had received name of the degree from university name. Presently he/she is working as designation at present institution name, city, and country. He/she has x years of industrial/academic experience along with the x years of research work (if any). His/her area of interest includes... Email:xx@xx.com (maximum should be in 3 line with single line spacing, font size 9, font style Times New Roman.

3. **Z** had received name of the degree from university name. Presently he/she is working as designation at present institution name, city, and country. He/she has x years of industrial/academic experience along with the x years of research work (if any). His/her area of interest includes... Email:xx@xx.com (maximum should be in 3 line with single line spacing, font size 9, font style Times New Roman.